



Weight and Dimensions						
Part Number	Wall Thickness	Weight of Elbow + PVC Couplings (lbs)	H1=L1 (in.)	L2 (in.)		
10-2030R36-PVC	0.070"	2.82	46	66		
10-4030R36-PVC	0.070"	8.44	50	71		
10-6030R36-PVC	0.110″	20.19	53	74		

# Why you should consider using FRE Elbow

#### **RTRC Standards**

Manufactured according to the latest UL, CSA and NEMA standards.

#### **Easy installation**

Elbows with PVC couplings can be easily bonded with PVC solvent cement.

#### No burn-through

Unlike PVC, epoxy fiberglass bends and elbows have a strong resistance to being cavitated or pierced as a result of rope pull or wire pull.

# Low coefficient of friction

The coefficient of friction is lower than steel and considerably lower than PVC. This means electrical cable are easier to pull through, resulting in labor saving, longer conduit runs and less strain on the cable.

# **Corrosion resistant**

Our Fiberglass Elbows are not affected by water, salt and most other chemicals due to prolonged exposure to harsh environmental and weather.

### Lightweight

Considerably lighter than steel and lighter than PVC SCH 40.

# **Excellent insulator**

Fiberglass elbows are non-conductive, they provide full protection during a cable fault.

#### Best solution for your needs

Different configurations, radii, couplings, and bending angle available

# **Technical information**

Material	Test Results	Test Protocol	
Resin	Epoxy (no filters)		
Glass	Fiberglass (E or E-CR Glass)		
Toxicity (Toxic Gas Emmisions)	<0.2% halogens by weight	UL 2515	
Physical Properties	Test Results	Test Protocol	
Glass Content	<7 000 Psi (48,26 Mpa)	API 15LR	
Specific Gravity	1.94 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	ASTM D792	
Barcol Hardness	54 ± 2	ASTM D2583	
Water Absorption	< 1%	ASTM D570	
U.V. Resistance	> 3500 Hrs (Xenon Arc)	CSA C22.2 No. 2515	
Mechanical Data	Test Results	Test Protocol	
Tensile Strength (axial)	≥7 000 Psi (48,26 Mpa)	API 15LR	
Elasticity Modulus (4") (103 mm)	1.3 E6 Psi (8 963 Mpa)	ASTM D2105	
Thermal Properties	Test Results	Test Protocol	
Coefficient of Thermal Expansion	1.37 E-⁵ in./in./°F (2.47 E-⁵ m./m./ °C)	ASTM D696	
Thermal Conductivity	2 Btu.in/ft <sup>2</sup> .h. °F (0.288W/ m.K)	ASTM D335	
Thermal Resistivity	0.5°F. ft².h/Btu.in (3.47 mK/W)	ASTM D335	
Heat Deflection Temperature (HDT)	312°F (156°C)	ASTM D648	
Electrical Data	Test Results	Test Protocol	
Dielectric Strength	500 volts/mil (19.68 kV/mm)	ASTM D149	
Dielectric Breakdown Voltage	29.7 kV	ASTM D149	
<b>Dissipation Factor</b>	0.5%	ASTM D150	
Coefficient of Friction	Test Results	Test Protocol	
Cross Linked Polyethylene Cable	0.233 ± .02	CSA B196.1	
PVC Jacketed Cable	0.385 ± .06	CSA B196.1	
Concentric Neutral Cable	0.160 ± .03	CSA B196.1	
Teck (Armored) Cable	0.161 ± .03	CSA B196.1	

# **Chemical Resistance**

	After 45 Days	After 90 Days		After 45 Days	After 90 Days
Sodium chloride, 10% aq. sin.	E	E	Nitric acid, 10% aq. sin.	E	E
Diesel fuel	E	E	Sodium carbonate, 10% aq.	E	E
Unleaded gasoline	E	E	Benzene	NR	NR
Jet fuel	E	E	Toluene	E	E
Hydrochloric acid, 10% aq.	E	E	Xylenesin.	E	E
Sulfuric acid, 10% aq. sin.	E	E	Acetone	NR	NR

## E: excellent chemical resistance

#### NR: not recommended for long term contact.

Chemical resistance tests reported here were conducted according to UL-651 section 38. Samples were immersed in the specified chemical reagent for 45 and 90 days, respectively. Weight gains or weight losses at the end of the immersion period were recorded. Mechanical integrity was determined by the parallel plate crush (ASTM D2412) test. Loads were measured at 5% deflection and at failure at the end of the immersion period and compared to the reference values of control specimens not exposed to any chemical attack. Weight gains or losses above 2% and drops in crushing resistance (load at 5% deflection at failure) above 15% were considered as evidence of insufficient chemical resistance.